UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/547,068	09/26/2006	Spiros Fotinos	1581/140	5149
	7590 09/09/200 & SUNSTEIN LLP	EXAMINER		
125 SUMMER			ELLIS, SUEZU Y	
BOSTON, MA 02110-1618			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/547,068	FOTINOS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Suezu Ellis	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	ne 2008.					
·= · ·	action is non-final.					
·=	' 					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte gadyle, 1000 O.B. 11, 40	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 38,40,42,51,56,61,64,67 and 82-112 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 38,40,42,51,56,61,64,67 and 82-112 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)						

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Examiner's Remarks

Applicant's election of Group II in the reply filed on June 23, 2008 is acknowledged. However, in view of applicant's amendment to the claims, the restriction requirement mailed on May 22, 2008, 2008 is withdrawn and a new restriction requirement follows.

Examiner further notes that claim 112 (the active layer in direct contact with a breathable layer which is in direct contact with the release liner) appears contradictory to claim 38 (the active layer being in direct contact with the release liner)., therefore is unclear how claim 112 is dependent from claim 38.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group Ia, claim(s) 38, 40, 42, 51 and 54, drawn to a device for delivering a volatile substance, including the specifics of an active layer and a first release liner in direct contact with the active layer, including the specifics of the active layer.

Group Ib, claim(s) 38, 56 and 67, drawn to a device for delivering a volatile substance, including the specifics of an active layer and a first release liner <u>in</u> direct contact with the active layer, including the specifics of a barrier layer.

Art Unit: 1615

Group Ic, claim(s) 38, 61 and 64, drawn to a device for delivering a volatile substance, including the specifics of an active layer and a first release liner in direct contact with the active layer, including the specifics of a first adhesive layer.

Page 3

Group IIa, claim(s) 38 and 82-86, drawn to a method for making the active layer, including the specifics of applying a barrier layer.

Group IIb, claim(s) 38, 82 and 87-94, drawn to a method for making the active layer, including the specifics of applying a fractional adhesion layer.

Group IIc, claim(s) 38, 82 and 95-104, drawn to a method for making the active layer, including the specifics of the materials of polymer solution.

Group IId, claim(s) 38, 82 and 105, drawn to a method for making the active layer, including the specifics of the drying step.

Group IIe, claim(s) 38, 82, 106 and 107, drawn to a method for making the active layer, including the specifics of applying the polymer solution.

Group IIf, claim(s) 38, 82, 108-111, drawn to a method for making the active layer, including the specifics of a rate controlling composition.

Group III, claim(s) 38 and 112, drawn to a method for making the active layer, including the specifics of applying the polymer solution to a first side of a breathable layer, an opposite side of the breathable layer from the polymer solution being covered at least in part by a release liner.

The inventions listed as Groups Ia-Ic, IIa-IIf and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical feature common in all groups is a device having an active layer (polymer solution comprising a polymer, solvent and volatile substance) in direct contact with a first release liner. This feature cannot be considered a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because the feature is shown in the prior art (see US 4,874,129).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the

Application/Control Number: 10/547,068 Page 5

Art Unit: 1615

requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Telephone/Fax Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suezu Ellis whose telephone number is (571) 272-2868. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5pm (Monday-Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/547,068 Page 6

Art Unit: 1615

SE

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MP WOODWARD/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1615